

## Struan Community Development Group

### Annual General Meeting – Online 10 June 2021

<b>Meeting Details</b>	Meeting at 1930 hrs via Zoom	
<b>Attendees</b>	Peggy Semler (PS), Katrina McGough (KMcG), Chris Henwood (CH), Sarah Illingsworth (SI), Clare Stones (CS), Claire Silvester, Ian Silvester, Julia Rudram, David McGough, Niall Till, Jenny Till, Dave Till, Maggi Charteris, Geoff Semler + 1 (see Note at end).	<b>Management Committee plus Members</b>
<b>Apologies</b>	Helynn MacLeod, Neil & Helen Urquhart.	
		<b>ACTIONS</b>
<b>Minutes from last meeting and matters Arising</b>	The minutes from the AGM on 20 July 2020 were reviewed, agreed and signed by PS. There were no Matters Arising.	
<b>Membership</b>	Membership is now sitting at 62 members. There has been some movement in and out of the area.	
<b>Finance</b>	Treasurer's Report. SI paraphrased what is in her written report (attached) and the Spreadsheet which was sent out. The Group is currently in credit of £718.23. No questions were put forward (see note below). PS added that there were now additional funds in the account (from Struan Community Trust).	
<b>Chairman's Report</b>	PS paraphrased what is in her written report (copy attached).	
<b>Election of Trustees</b>	<p>Peggy Semler, Katrina McGough and Chris Henwood have decided to stand down. The remaining Trustees – SI, CSil, CS – agreed to stand again and were nominated and seconded as follows:-</p> <p>S Illingworth PS CH  C Silvester KMcG ISilvester  C Stones DMcG C Sil</p> <p>However, there were no further nominations, which leaves us short of at least two further trustees, and also people who are prepared to be office bearers (SI is happy to continue as Treasurer). This leaves the Group in a very difficult situation.</p> <p>The options for a way forward are basically either to amalgamate with another SCIO, or dissolve the Group. PS suggested that this meeting should pass a resolution that the members present agree that unless a further minimum two Trustees come forward within 2 weeks, then the Development Group should be dissolved, according to the rules of a SCIO. She also stated that the concern about getting ongoing Trustees was the main reason that she had not gone ahead with advertising for the Development Officer post.</p> <p>DMcG said that the Gala Committee had been made aware and discussed the potential situation with the Development Group, but had decided to wait until tonight's meeting, but they had not ruled out the possibility of looking into the possibilities amalgamating the two SCIOs. However, given that the Gala Committee has been suffering from a similar problem in</p>	

	<p>that it has struggled to recruit enough Trustees, it might just be postponing the problem until another year. PS also said it was very disappointing that there was so little interest from the community, but that only 7 people had attended the Community Council AGM the previous night.</p> <p>JR suggested that we should give it two weeks.</p> <p>The members present then unanimously agreed that “that the members of Struan Community Group present at this AGM on 10<sup>th</sup> June 2021, agree that unless a minimum of a further two new Trustees are identified, nominated and seconded, or an alternative means to secure new Trustees is identified by the 24<sup>th</sup> June 2021, the SCIO will, subject to consent from the OSCR,:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wind up its affairs,</li> <li>• Transfer any surplus assets (once all it’s statutory reporting obligations have been met) to Struan Community Trust,</li> <li>• Be removed from the Register and therefore dissolved”.</li> </ul> <p>PS offered to remain involved until such time as the way forward has been resolved, one way or another, including sending out a letter to the members to make them aware of the situation.</p> <p>She also thanked the retiring members for their time and efforts over the past few years. CH thanked PS for her efforts.</p> <p>PS thanked the members for attending the AGM.</p>	
<b>AOCB</b>	None	
<b>Next Meeting</b>	TBA	

**Note:** A further individual joined the online meeting, but we were unable to verify this person’s identity as they refused to join using video, and despite repeated requests from various people to join using sound, did not do so.